

2001 Boards and Commissions Report

Independent Living Advisory Committee

1. Group Name

2. Name group reported under in 1999 or Unchanged ☒

Department of Services for the Blind

1987

9

6

3. Agency to which group reports

4. Year was group established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

To provide guidance and direction to the department's Independent Living Program; to make recommendations to the executive director and Rehabilitation Council regarding Independent Living policy and procedures; to provide guidance and direction to the development of the 5-year Independent Living State Plan; to provide liaison to the Governor appointed Statewide Independent Living Council.

8. Estimated Operating Costs	Direct Costs	Indirect Costs	Total Costs	Sources of Funds <small>Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State/A if appropriated or N if non appropriated</small>	Program Appropriation
1999-2001 Biennium Group Program	6,000		6,000	GF State 50% Federal 50%	
2001-2003 Biennium Group Program	6,000		6,000	GF State 50% Federal 50%	

88. Expected consequences if group were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

- a) Out of compliance with federal-state plan requirements b) Blind citizens would not be given equal representation on IL needs c) IL programs would not be as likely to meet the needs of its constituents

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

RCW 74.18.180

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Executive Director

13. Is Senate confirmation required? ☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Does group have subpoena powers? ☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Group member compensation class ☒ one ☐ two ☐ three
☐ four ☐ five

16. Required Representation:

Five blind consumer members age 55 or older; no more than two Rehabilitation Council members, two members at large

17. Federal or other mandates:

Title VII Chapter 1 Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as amended 1992 Independent Living Services

97. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

None

19. Certification: I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Rebecca Jensen, Confidential Secretary

7/6/01

PO Box 40933, Olympia, WA 98504-0933

360-586-7022

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information)

2001 Boards and Commissions Report

Independent Living Council

1. Group Name 2. Name group reported under in 1999 or Unchanged ☒

Division of Vocational Rehabilitation/DSHS

1993

21

8

3. Agency to which group reports

4. Year was group established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

- (1) The Washington State Independent Living Council functions in accordance with Title VII of the Rehabilitation Act, as amended. The Council provides advocacy, education, planning and leadership.
- (2) Promote access to independent living services and centers for independent living statewide.
- (3) Monitor the extent, scope, and effectiveness of services provided by the centers for independent living.
- (4) Increase opportunities for self-determination and empower people with disabilities to live self-directed lives.
- (5) Create community and business awareness of people with disabilities as valuable human resources.
- (6) Monitor and evaluate the achievement of the State Plan for Independent Living.
- (7) In partnership with the designated state unit:
 - (a) Develop, agree to, and review goals and priorities set out in the State Plan.
 - (b) Advise and assist independent living through the preparation of the State Plan and amendments to the plan, the strategic plan, applications, reports, needs assessments, and evaluations; and to conduct a review and analysis of the effectiveness of independent living, and consumer satisfaction through needs assessment.
- (8) Prepare and submit an annual report to the Governor, the public, and appropriate state and federal interested parties.
- (9) Coordinate with other councils, as specified by the Act.
- (10) Advise and provide coordination between the State Independent Living Council and the independent living centers.
- (11) Perform other functions, consistent with the Rehabilitation Act, as the Council determines appropriate.

8. Estimated Operating Costs	Direct Costs	Indirect Costs	Total Costs	Sources of Funds <small>Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State/A if appropriated or N if non appropriated</small>	Program Appropriation
1999-2001 Biennium	264,000		264,000	Federal - Dept of Education 78.7% State GF 21.3%	Split between DSHS/DVR (88.3%) and Dept of Services for the Blind (11.7%) - Title VII
2001-2003 Biennium	280,000		280,000	Federal - Dept of Education 78.7% State GF 21.3%	Split between DSHS/DVR (88%) and Dept of Services for the Blind (12%) - Title VII

89. Expected consequences if group were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p>a) The Rehabilitation Act prohibits the abolishment of the SILC. The Rehabilitation Act requires the majority of membership to be comprised of private individuals with disabilities not state employees.</p> | <p>b) This Council is mandated by the Rehabilitation Act to work with the DSHS/Division of Vocational Rehabilitation.</p> | <p>c) DSHS/DVR would no longer receive federal funding for Independent Living Services. The existence of the SILC is mandated by the Rehabilitation Act.</p> |
|--|---|--|

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO
Executive Order 93-04

11. Legal Authorization is:
☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required? ☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Does group have subpoena powers? ☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Group member compensation class ☒ one ☐ two ☐ three
☐ four ☐ five

16. Required Representation:

- 21 members, a majority of voting members who are individuals with disabilities not employed by any State agency or center for independent living.
- (1) At least one director of a center for independent living chosen by the directors of centers for independent living within the State.
 - (2) At least one representative of the directors from the State 121 project.
 - (3) Ex-officio, non-voting members:
 - (a) One representative from each of the following agencies: DSHS/Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and Department of Services for the Blind.
 - (b) Representatives from other state agencies that provide services for individuals with disabilities.
 - (4) At least one vocational rehabilitation counselor, with knowledge of and experience with vocational rehabilitation programs, who shall serve as an ex-officio, non-voting member if the counselor is an employee of the state.
 - (5) The Council may also include:
 - (a) other representatives from centers for independent living;
 - (b) parents and guardians of individuals with disabilities;
 - (c) advocates of and for individuals with disabilities;
 - (d) representatives from business;
 - (e) representatives from organizations that provide services for individuals with disabilities; and
 - (f) other individuals as appropriate.

17. Federal or other mandates:
Rehabilitation Act, as amended

98. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:
No other group satisfies the Federal requirements.

19. Certification: I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Cathy Baldwin, Executive Director	July 6, 2001	PO Box 45343, Olympia WA 98504-5343	(360) 407-3603
Name and Title	Date	Address	Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information)

2001 Boards and Commissions Report

Indeterminate Sentence Review Board

Unchanged

1. Group Name

2. Name group reported under in 1999 or Unchanged ☒

N/A

1935

3

24

3. Agency to which group reports

4. Year was group established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The Indeterminate Sentence Review Board (formerly the Board of Prison Terms and Paroles) has jurisdiction over offenders convicted of felony crimes committed prior to July 1, 1984. Primary responsibilities include terms of confinement, parole, and parole revocation of these offenders.

8. Estimated Operating Costs	Direct Costs	Indirect Costs	Total Costs	Sources of Funds <small>Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State/A if appropriated or N if non appropriated.</small>	Program Appropriation
1999-2001 Biennium Group Program	1,923,032		1,923,032	GF State (A)	
2001-2003 Biennium Group Program	1,946,000		1,946,000	GF State (A)	

90. Expected consequences if group were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

- a) Current Statutes (RCW 9.95) would have to be revised and would be subject to litigation. b) Current Statutes (RCW 9.95) would have to be revised and would be subject to litigation. c) The Legislature would have to make provisions for handling the offenders convicted under indeterminate sentencing laws.

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

RCW 9.95

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required? ☒ Yes ☐ No

14. Does group have subpoena powers? ☒ Yes ☐ No

15. Group member compensation class ☐ one ☐ two ☐ three ☐ four

Chair – Full Time State Members – 60% Time State

16. Required Representation:

None

17. Federal or other mandates:

None

99. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

N/A

19. Certification: I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

John L. Austin, III, Chair

6/6/01

P.O. Box 40907, Olympia, WA 98504

493-9271

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information)

2001 Boards and Commissions Report

Indian Policy Advisory Committee

Same

1. Group Name

2. Name group reported under in 1999 or Unchanged ☒

DSHS/Secretary's Office

1978

25

11

3. Agency to which group reports

4. Year was group established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

Provides consultation and advice to the DSHS Secretary related to policies that effect Native American people. These policies are a direct reflection of the Governor's Centennial Accord, DSHS Administrative Policy 7.01 and Tribal/State Agreements between specific divisions and Tribal Governments. Provides information to achieve culturally relevant service delivery in all divisions.

8. Estimated Operating Costs	Direct Costs	Indirect Costs	Total Costs	Sources of Funds <small>Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State/A if appropriated or N if non appropriated</small>	Program Appropriation
1999-2001 Biennium Group Program			10,500	35.4% Federal 64.6% State	110 110
2001-2003 Biennium Group Program			10,500	35.4% Federal 64.6% State	110 110

91. Expected consequences if group were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

a) Government-to-Government intent and trust relationship not achieved.

b) Each agency should have their own Indian Policy Advisory Committee.

c) Not recommended, due to Governor's Centennial Accord agreement.

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

Governor's Centennial Accord, DSHS Administrative Policy 7.01.

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Resolution by Tribe and appointment letter from DSHS Secretary.

13. Is Senate confirmation required? ☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Does group have subpoena powers? ☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Group member compensation class ☐ one ☐ two ☐ three ☐ four ☐ five

16. Required Representation:

Representatives from all Federally Recognized Tribes in Washington State and representatives from non-recognized Tribes and Indian Organizations.

17. Federal or other mandates:

none

100. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

none

19. Certification: I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Gwendolyn R. Gua, Director
Indian Policy and Support Services

7/3/01

P.O. Box 45105
Olympia, WA 98504

(360) 902-7816

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information)

2001 Boards and Commissions Report

Industrial Insurance Appeals, Board of

1. Group Name _____ 2. Name group reported under in 1999 or Unchanged ☒

None-This is a permanent full-time Agency _____ 1949 _____ 3 _____ 48 _____
 3. Agency to which group reports _____ 4. Year was group established _____ 5. Number of members _____ 6. Number of meetings last biennium _____

7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The resolution of disputes involving several specialized areas of law: (1)workers'compensation),including assessment of industrial insurance premiums, RCW Title 51; (2) citations issued under the Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act (WISHA), RCW 49.17; (3) assessments and penalties issued under RCW 49.70, the Worker and Community Right To Know Act; (4) Decisions affecting compensation of innocent victims of crime, RCW 7.68; (5) safety appeals for late night retail establishments, RCW 49.22.030; (6) the Charter Boat Safety Act, RCW 88.04.085; (7) the Explosives Act RCW 70.74.390; and (8) asbestos certification, RCW 49.26.110.

8. Estimated Operating Costs	Direct Costs	Indirect Costs	Total Costs	Sources of Funds <small>Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State/A if appropriated or N if non appropriated</small>	Program Appropriation
1999-2001 Biennium Group Program	23,940,000		23,940,000	163,239,406,427,608,609	
2001-2003 Biennium Group Program	29,406,000		29,406,000	163,406,608,609	

92. Expected consequences if group were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

- a) This is a constituent driven agency. Business and Labor consider it necessary to have an independent review of decisions of the Department of Labor and Industries by people with expertise in the areas of law administered by the Board. There are no existing staff in other agencies with expertise in these areas of the law. Transferring the staff to another agency would save no money and could eliminate the independent review. If the responsibilities were dropped , appeals would have to go to superior courts which would flood the system.
- b) _____ c) _____

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

RCW title 51, RCW 49.17, RCW 49.70, RCW 7.68, RCW 49.22.030, RCW 88.04.085, RCW 70.74.390, and RCW 49.26.110

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: RCW 51.52, RCW 49.17, RCW 7.68, RCW 49.70.170, RCW 49.22.030, RCW 88.04.085, RCW 70.74.390, RCW 49.26.110

13. Is Senate confirmation required? ☒ Yes ☐ No

14. Does group have subpoena powers? ☒ Yes ☐ No 15. Group member compensation class ☐ one ☐ two ☐ three ☐ four

16. Required Representation:

Chairperson: Represents general public
 Member: Represents workers
 Member: Represents employers

17. Federal or other mandates:

None

101. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

None

19. Certification: I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Michael F. Welsh, Chief, Admin.Services June 28, 2001 PO Box 42401 (360) 753-9639
 Olympia WA 98504-2401

Name and Title _____ Date _____ Address _____ Phone _____

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information)

2001 Boards and Commissions Report

Information Services Board

1. Group Name	2. Name group reported under in 1999 or Unchanged <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Department of Information Services	1987	15	9

3. Agency to which group reports	4. Year was group established	5. Number of members	6. Number of meetings last biennium
---	--------------------------------------	-----------------------------	--

7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The Legislature created the Information Services Board to provide a state-level perspective on strategic direction, policy for information technology, and oversight of large technology projects. The Department of Information Services Management and Oversight of Strategic Technologies Division provides staff support for the ISB. The roles and responsibilities of the ISB are: provide leadership and direction for state-level strategic technology planning; develop and adopt state information technology policies, standards, and procedures; provide oversight of major information technology projects and; approve major acquisitions of information technology equipment and software.

8. Estimated Operating Costs	Direct Costs	Indirect Costs	Total Costs	Sources of Funds <small>Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State/A if appropriated or N if non appropriated</small>	Program Appropriation
1999-2001 Biennium Group Program	6300.00			Appropriated Funds	
2001-2003 Biennium Group Program	7000.00			Appropriated Funds	

9. Expected consequences if group were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p>a) If the responsibilities of the ISB were assigned to existing or additional staff, the expertise of the Board members – including legislators; senior state, court, and private sector executives; educators; and senior technology professionals – would be lost. The Board has been instrumental in guiding agencies toward better decisions in the investment of scarce public resources in information technology.</p> | <p>b) The ISB is uniquely situated in relationship to the Department of Information Services (DIS) such that its decisions and policy directions reinforce the development of a shared state technology infrastructure. Taken together, its membership and relationship to DIS are instrumental in the Board's role in establishing a framework that encourages collaborative efforts and establishes policies to assist in the application of the cost-effective use of statewide information technology resources. If the ISB's responsibilities were transferred to another agency, the alignment of policy and infrastructure would be difficult to maintain. When such a scenario was explored a number of years ago, the potential alignment difficulties were compounded by the finding that the new host agency would require a significant number of additional FTEs.</p> | <p>c) If the ISB were abolished, responsibility for statewide information technology oversight, resource acquisition, appeal resolution and technical policies would need to be provided elsewhere, resulting in a potential cost increase.</p> |
|--|---|--|

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO
43.105

11. Legal Authorization is:
☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required? ☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Does group have subpoena powers? ☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Group member compensation class ☒ one ☐ two ☐ three
☐ four ☐ five

16. Required Representation: There are 15 members of the ISB (a statutory change during the 1999 Legislative session added two new legislative members. The effective date for appointment of those members is September 1999, but these new members are included in the count of 15). Membership includes, 8 appointed by the Governor (including 3 members of the private sector and 1 representative from higher education); 1 appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court; the Superintendent of Public Instruction (or designee); 2 state senators appointed by the President of the Senate; 2 state representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and the Director of the Department of Information Services.

17. Federal or other mandates:

Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17: none

19. Certification: *I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.*

Laurel Frank	6-26-01	P.O. Box 42445, Olympia, WA 98504	902-3566
Name and Title	Date	Address	Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information)

2001 Boards and Commissions Report

Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

1. Group Name

2. Name group reported under in 1999 or Unchanged ☒

Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

1964

8

8

3. Agency to which group reports

4. Year was group established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) is responsible for administering eight grant programs: the Boating Facilities Program, Non-Highway & Off-Road Vehicle Activities Program, Firearms and Archery Range Recreation Program, Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, National Recreational Trails Funding Act Program, the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Program, Youth Athletic Facilities Program, and the Boating Infrastructure Grants Program. In addition, the IAC is responsible for statewide outdoor recreation planning.

8. Estimated Operating Costs	Direct Costs	Indirect Costs	Total Costs	Sources of Funds <small>Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State/A if appropriated or N if non appropriated</small>	Program Appropriation
1999-2001 Biennium Group Program	10,000 2,870,000		10,000 2,870,000	Rec Resources - A; NOVA - A; FARR - A; G.F. Federal - A	
2001-2003 Biennium Group Program	12,000 3,000,000		12,000 3,000,000	Rec Resources - A; NOVA - A; FARR - A; G.F. Federal - A	

93. Expected consequences if group were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

- a) Loss of a source of expertise in developing program policy, conducting statewide outdoor recreation planning, and in evaluating grant applications. Loss of ongoing coordination of the three state agencies acquiring, owning, developing, and managing outdoor recreation and habitat land.
- b)
- c)

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

RCW 43.99.110

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required? ☒ Yes ☐ No

14. Does group have subpoena powers? ☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Group member compensation class ☐ one ☐ two ☒ three
☐ four ☐ five

16. Required Representation:

5 citizen members and 3 state agency directors (Fish & Wildlife, Natural Resources, and Parks)

17. Federal or other mandates:
None

102. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:
None

19. Certification: I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Tammy Owings

7/10/01

PO Box 40917, Olympia, WA 98504-0917

902-2637

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information)